trapment. But this apparent conflict is of no significance in this case, for the First Circuit agrees that mere solicitation is not enough to raise an issue of entrapment. Thus, in *Kadis* v. *United States,* 373 F. 2d 370, 374 (C.A. 1), upon which petitioner relies (Pet. 7–8), the court said:

> If the defendant shows, through government witnesses or otherwise, some indication that a government agent corrupted him, the burden of disproving entrapment will be on the government; but such a showing is not made simply by evidence of a solicitation. There must be some evidence tending to show unreadiness.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that the petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.

ERWIN N. GRISWOLD,
Solicitor General.

AUGUST 1971.

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971